



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/526,105	03/15/2000	Raymond K. Jessup	247/129	9165
7590	12/15/2005		EXAMINER	
LOUIS M. HEIDELBERGER REED SMITH LLP 2500 ONE LIBERTY PLACE PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-7301			MIRZA, ADNAN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2145	

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/526,105	JESSUP ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Adnan M. Mirza	2145	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 May 2005.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                     | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
|  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .                                  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lohtia et al (U.S. 2003/0211845) and further in view of Laursen et al (U.S. 6,233,608).

As per claims 1,14 Lohtia disclosed a method for sending local information from a wireless handset to a web server comprising the following steps: (a) receiving a service request from a user of the wireless handset via a wireless data transmission passing through at least one wireless base station (Page. 1, Paragraph 0004), wherein the service request comprises a type of local information needed to carry out the service request: (b) acquiring the local information (Page. 4, Paragraph. 0032);

However Lohtia did not disclose in detail (c) sending the local information to the Web server via uniform resource locator. Wherein the phone dialing process is modified to send the local information as part of the uniform resource locator.

In the same field of endeavor Laursen disclosed the communication protocol in the Internet is the well known Hyper Text Transfer Protocol or HTTP and runs on TCP and controls the connection of a well-known Hyper Text Markup Language Web browser, or HTML Web browser, to a Web server and the exchange of information there between (col. 6, lines 33-38). Each mobile phone is assigned to device ID which can be a phone number of the phone or a combination of an IP address and a port number for example: 204.163.165.132.01905 where 204.163.165.132 is the IP address and 09105 is the port number (col. 7, lines 57-61). The screen prompts user what to

proceed with the keypad, with a sequence of keypad entries and through the phone, a user can interactively communicate with a server through the airnet, link server and the Internet (col. 9, lines 28-31).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated sending the local information to the Web server via uniform resource locator. Wherein the phone dialing process is modified to send the local information as part of the uniform resource locator as taught by Laursen in the method of Lohtia to make the system efficient in terms of communication with data network through wireless means.

3. As per claim 2 Lohtia-Laursen disclosed wherein the service request received in step (a) also comprises the URL address of the Web server (Laursen, col. 3, lines 55-61).

4. As per claims 3,12 Lohtia-Laursen disclosed wherein step (c) comprises extracting the URL address from the service request, appending the local information to the URL address, and navigating a wireless browser to the URL address (Laursen, col. 8, lines 32-39).

5. As per claims 4,8 Lohtia-Laursen disclosed wherein the wireless browser is an HDML/WML browser (Laursen, col. 6, lines 38-48).

6. As per claim 5,15 Lohtia-Laursen disclosed wherein the local information comprises the geographic location of the handset (Ludwig, col. 7, lines 59-67).

7. As per claims 6,16 Lohtia-Laursen, disclosed wherein the geographic location is obtained from GPS data provided by a position determination system associated with the handset (Ludwig, col. 7, lines 36-57).

8. As per claim 7 rejected under the same limitations as per claim 1 plus additional limitations where Lohtia-Laursen disclosed a method for using a wireless browser to send local information from a wireless handset to a Web server or to dial a telephone number comprising

the following steps: (a) receiving an input from a user of the wireless handset via a wireless data transmission passing through at least one wireless base station (Lohtia, Page. 1, Paragraph 0004), wherein the input comprises either a service request containing a type of local information needed to carry out the service request, or a telephone number to be dialed (Laursen, col. 7, lines 57-64); (b) determining whether the input comprises a service request or a telephone number (Laursen, col. 13, lines 40-50); (c) if the input is a telephone number, terminating the browser and dialing the telephone number (Laursen, col. 9, lines 4-19); and (d) if the input is a service request, acquiring the local information and sending the local information to the Web server via the uniform resource locator (Ludwig, col. 3, lines 42-46). Wherein the phone dialing process is modified to send the local information as part of the uniform resource locator (Laursen, col. 9, lines 28-31).

9. As per claim 9 Lohtia-Laursen disclosed wherein in step (a), if the input is a telephone number, the telephone number is inserted into the NUMBER field following an HDML/WML CALL command, and if the input is a service request, the type of local information needed and the URL address of the Web server is inserted into the NUMBER field following the HDML/WML CALL command (Laursen, col. 6, lines 34-65).

10. As per claim 10 Lohtia-Laursen disclosed wherein step (b) comprises determining whether the NUMBER field includes a local information type (Laursen, col. 7, lines 20-35).

11. As per claim 11 Lohtia-Laursen disclosed wherein step (b) comprises determining whether the NUMBER field includes a URL address (Laursen, , col. 3, lines 24-31).

12. As per claim 13 Lohtia disclosed wherein the local data comprises the GPS position of the handset (Page.4, Paragraph. 0034).

Applicant's arguments are as follows:

14. Applicant argued that prior failed to disclose receiving a service request from a user of the wireless handset, wherein the service request comprises a type of local information needed to carry out the service requests.

As to applicant's argument Laursen disclosed "it should be noted that server functions as a link server and a host server. The functional flowcharts on the client and server sides are cojointly described in the following with respect to a cellular phone (col. 13, lines 30-34). One ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention knows that server is define as a processor that process the service request and Laursen disclosed above that in terms of functioncality is same as the cellular phone or wireless handset.

### ***Conclusion***

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Adnan Mirza whose telephone number is (571)-272-3885.

9. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday during normal business hours. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Cardone can be reached on (571)-272-3933. The fax for this group is (703)-746-7239. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for un published applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866)-217-9197 (toll-free).

AM  
Adnan Mirza  
Examiner



JASON LARRONE  
SPE 2145